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* **America’s transmission grid is outdated and too inefficient to support a modern economy.** Nearly all aspects of modern life depend on a robust and reliable power grid. According to the American Society for Civil Engineers, most of the nation’s transmission and distribution lines were constructed in the 1950s and 1960s and have a 50-year life expectancy, meaning they have reached or surpassed their intended lifespan.[[1]](#footnote-1) The current grid was planned for a behind-the-times resource mix that is different from what utilities, states, and consumers are calling for in the future.Failure to expand our grid and connect the low-cost, domestic resources of the future is:
	+ Increasing electricity bills for all customers;
	+ Preventing economic development and job creation, especially in rural areas;
	+ Making the nation more vulnerable to grid outages and national security threats;
	+ Blocking development of renewable energy;
	+ Exacerbating the effects of climate change and needlessly exposing many to harmful air pollutants, especially those in marginalized communities; and
	+ Undermining America’s global competitiveness, as other countries have already begun to rapidly modernize and expand their grids.
* **Policy roadblocks in how we plan, pay for, and permit transmission are stunting grid development.** These roadblocks include a dysfunctional and balkanized transmission planning and permitting process and a failure to recognize the benefits an expanded and modernized grid could provide.
	+ In the last decade, regionally planned transmission investment has decreased by 50 percent[[2]](#footnote-2) and almost no new interregional lines have been planned.[[3]](#footnote-3)
	+ At the end of 2020, 844 gigawatts (GWs) of proposed generation were waiting in interconnection queues nationwide, most of which are located in rural areas and 90 percent of which are renewable, energy storage, and hybrid resource projects.[[4]](#footnote-4) These individual generators are often required to pay for shared network facility upgrades to connect to the grid – a cost allocation structure akin to requiring the next car waiting to merge onto the highway to pay for a lane expansion that benefits all users.
	+ Neighboring planning authorities use different and sometimes incompatible models and methods that prevent agreement on interregional lines.
	+ Transmission is generally planned separately for reliability, economic, and public policy purposes, rather than under a holistic approach that considers the joint benefits.[[5]](#footnote-5)
	+ Permitting of lines benefitting many states and the nation is performed at the state and local levels, slowing development of regionally beneficial investments.
* **Congress, the Administration, and FERC can resolve transmission barriers.**
	+ FERC can reform regional and interregional transmission planning and cost allocation methods, while replacing the dysfunctional generator interconnection process at the same time.[[6]](#footnote-6)
	+ Congress and the Administration can assist in the funding of large-scale interstate highway types of transmission lines.
	+ The Administration can support planning studies and stakeholder engagement, and utilize its limited federal backstop siting powers to site transmission lines that would not otherwise be constructed due to regulatory hurdles at the state and local levels.
* **Adopting such transmission policies would deliver huge benefits for America. Specifically, transmission:**
* **Reduces electricity bills.**
* Large-scale transmission combined with a large-scale buildout of renewable energy cuts consumer electric bills by over $100 billion cumulatively and decreases the average electric bill rate by more than one-third, from over 9 cents per kilowatt-hour (kWh) today to around 6 cents per kWh by 2050, saving a typical household more than $300 per year.[[7]](#footnote-7)
* The NREL Interconnections Seam study found the benefits of a macro grid connecting the Eastern and Western Interconnections to be almost three times the cost, allowing nearly 40 GWs of power to move back and forth on a daily basis.[[8]](#footnote-8)
* America has an abundance of low-cost renewable resources that can reduce electricity bills for families and businesses. Transmission costs much less than the cost of generation, so using transmission to access low-cost generation reduces electric bills on net.
* Transmission makes electricity markets more competitive, benefiting consumers.[[9]](#footnote-9)
* The benefits generated by MISO’s Multi-Value Projects (MVPs) and SPP’s Priority Projects exceeded the costs by 2.2 to 3.5 times.[[10]](#footnote-10) This means a dollar spent on transmission enables access to generation that is $3 to $4 cheaper than would otherwise be available. Withholding transmission is penny-wise and pound-foolish.
* Building bigger saves consumers money. The cost per unit of delivery capacity for high voltage 765 kilovolt (kV) lines is one-fourth as much as lower voltage 230 kV lines.[[11]](#footnote-11)
* A study from the MIT Energy Initiative found that interstate coordination and transmission expansion reduces the cost of zero-carbon electricity by up to 46 percent compared to a state-by-state approach.[[12]](#footnote-12) Building enough local generation to meet load at all times over-builds expensive generation, while transmission is a cheaper way to meet load.
* **Drives high quality domestic manufacturing and construction jobs.**
* Domestic content of transmission is high, so transmission puts Americans to work. Analysis by the Brattle Group indicates that domestic content accounts for 82 percent of the total value of transmission investment, with 61 percent of materials sourced domestically.[[13]](#footnote-13) All transmission construction and O&M work is inherently domestic, and transmission planning, engineering, and logistics work is also typically domestic. Brattle estimated that 65 percent of transmission wires and towers are sourced domestically, while 35 percent of transformers and circuit breakers are domestic.
* Nearly all transmission jobs are union jobs and pay prevailing wages.
* Direct Current (DC) projects create around 4 direct jobs per $1 million of expenditure and a combined total of 11.3 direct, indirect, and induced jobs, while Alternating Current (AC) projects create around 11.5 direct jobs per $1 million of expenditure and a combined total of 27 direct, indirect, and induced jobs.[[14]](#footnote-14)
* Transmission enables renewable energy jobs at a rate of 4 direct jobs per renewable megawatt (MW) and a combined total of 10.64 direct and indirect jobs per renewable MW.[[15]](#footnote-15)
* **Drives economic development and job creation, especially in rural areas.**
* The Vibrant Clean Energy (VCE) study for the Eastern US alone reveals that expanding and modernizing the transmission grid in the region will unleash up to $7.8 trillion in investment, mostly in rural America.[[16]](#footnote-16)
* Clean power alone supports over 415,000+ jobs across all 50 U.S. states.[[17]](#footnote-17)
* The VCE study for the Eastern US found more than 6 million net new renewable energy and transmission jobs – a five-fold increase from around 1.3 million to over 7.5 million jobs by 2050 in the Eastern US alone.[[18]](#footnote-18) The same would be true for the West and for interregional and national macro grid transmission.
* Clean power projects provide extra income to farmers, ranchers, and other private landowners. Annually, drought-proof lease payments total $1.3 billion and new tax revenues from clean power projects total $1.2 billion.[[19]](#footnote-19)
* By 2030, it’s projected that wind and solar will generate ~$5B annually in taxes and land lease payments for rural communities; significant transmission upgrades could greatly increase those amounts.[[20]](#footnote-20)
* **Makes America’s grid more secure, reliable and resilient.**
* Grid operators and experts say large scale transmission is key to resilience:
* ISO-New England: “The system’s ability to withstand various transmission facility and generator contingencies and move power around without dependence on local resources under many operating conditions…results in a grid that is…resilient.”[[21]](#footnote-21)
* NYISO: “…resiliency is closely linked to the importance of maintaining and expanding interregional interconnections, the building out of a robust transmission system…”[[22]](#footnote-22)
* SPP: “These lines were identified as being economically beneficial for bringing low-cost, renewable energy to market, but their construction has also supported resilience by creating and strengthening alternate paths within SPP.”[[23]](#footnote-23)
* A recent report by national security experts noted: “Our electricity grid’s resilience—its ability to withstand shocks, attacks and damages from natural events, systemic failures, cyber-attack or extreme electromagnetic events, both natural and man-made—has emerged as a major concern for U.S. national security and a stable civilian society.”[[24]](#footnote-24) The report described large scale transmission as a solution: “Transmission buildout is critical to resilience as it can relieve line overloading—or “congestion” in industry jargon—on the existing system, lessening the compounding risks that come with a strained grid that could then be tested by an extreme weather event or an attack incident. Moreover, by enabling further development of renewable energy resources over wider geographic areas, well-planned transmission expansion can make targeted attacks on the grid more difficult to plan and carry out.”[[25]](#footnote-25)
* A National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine study of power system resilience noted the need for planning improvements to protect against modern threats:[[26]](#footnote-26) “As the complexity and scale of the grid as a cyber-physical system continues to grow, there are opportunities to plan and design the system to reduce the criticality of individual components and to fail gracefully as opposed to catastrophically.”[[27]](#footnote-27) The study also notes “In most cases, an electricity system that is designed, constructed, and operated solely on the basis of economic efficiency to meet standard reliability criteria will not be sufficiently resilient.”[[28]](#footnote-28)
* **Enhances America’s global competitiveness.**
* Many of the technologies and companies involved in large-scale transmission originate in the US, and there is potential to retake leadership in the global industry.
* China has recently completed five times more high-voltage interregional transmission than Europe, and more than 80 times more than the U.S.[[29]](#footnote-29)
* The European Union is planning and building high-voltage transmission to support the development of offshore wind in the northern seas.
* **Accelerates the adoption of renewable energy.**
* America has an abundance of renewable energy resources. Two-thirds of renewable resource potential is located in 15 central states that only comprise one-third of total electricity consumption, while load centers near the East and West coasts consume the other two-thirds.[[30]](#footnote-30) Transmission is needed to deliver clean energy to population centers.
* Studies show that transmission capacity needs to increase by 2 to 3 times today’s delivery capacity to transition to a decarbonized energy system.[[31]](#footnote-31)
* Variable weather-driven resources like wind and solar can supply over 80 percent of the power system’s electricity at a cost equal to or lower than today’s energy costs, but only if large amounts of energy can move back and forth across and between regions to ensure demand is met in all hours at all locations.[[32]](#footnote-32) Wind and solar facilities that are located at different points on the grid complement each other as they tend to produce energy at different times.
* A clean power sector and decarbonized economy through electrification require large-scale transmission.
* High penetration of Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) does not change the need for transmission. In a recent analysis of the benefits of incorporating large amounts of DERs sponsored by Local Solar for All, Vote Solar, and the Coalition for Community Solar Access, the detailed modeling study found that almost the same amount of transmission was needed with or without a large amount of DERs.[[33]](#footnote-33)
* **Advances environmental justice.**
* Transmission allows older, dirtier generating units that are near environmental justice communities to be replaced in system dispatch by remote, clean resources.
* A recent study of significant transmission expansion in the Eastern half of the country found, “investing in transmission and renewable energy can improve public health by greatly reducing or eliminating a range of harmful air pollutants over the next decade. These localized air pollutants increase the risk of illness or death from a range of health problems, and have even been linked to increased risk of death from COVID-19. By delivering clean energy to densely populated areas to replace polluting sources of energy, transmission plays a particularly important role in displacing harmful emissions. Many of the most polluting power plants are located in economically disadvantaged communities.”[[34]](#footnote-34) Transmission combined with renewable energy enables near-elimination of harmful air pollutants, including sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter which cause asthma and other public health problems. [[35]](#footnote-35)
* **Sharply reduces carbon emissions.**
* Decarbonization of the electricity, transportation, and commercial and residential buildings sectors requires a national transmission network.[[36]](#footnote-36)
* **Examples of successful transmission:**
* **MISO MVP­­**: The MISO MVP portfolio consists of 17 transmission projects distributed across the MISO footprint. At an estimated total cost of $5.5 billion,[[37]](#footnote-37) the portfolio is estimated to generate $12.1 to 52.6 billion in net benefits over the next 20 to 40 years, and will enable 52.8 million MWh of wind energy to meet renewable energy goals and mandates through 2031.[[38]](#footnote-38)
* **SPP Priority Projects**: Between 2012 and 2014, SPP completed $3.4 billion in transmission expansion projects to better integrate the power system’s eastern and western regions and reduce overall congestion on the SPP grid.[[39]](#footnote-39) SPP estimates that the net present value of all quantified benefits, including production cost savings, is expected to total over $10 billion over the next 40 years.
* **Texas Competitive Renewable Energy Zones (CREZ) Transmission**: In 2005, the Texas legislature passed Texas Senate Bill 20, which ordered the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT), in consultation with the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT), to establish CREZs and develop a transmission plan to deliver renewable power to load.[[40]](#footnote-40) The final selected transmission scenario constructed 3,600 right-of-way miles of 345 kV transmission at a cost of $6.8 billion. Since the completion of construction in 2014, the implementation of CREZ enabled the addition of more than 18 GWs of wind capacity to the Texas power grid.
* **Tehachapi Renewable Transmission Project, California:** In 2016, Southern California Edison completed the final segment of a series of high-voltage transmission lines capable of integrating 4,500 MW of wind energy from the Tehachapi wind resource area to the Los Angeles Basin.[[41]](#footnote-41) The 250-miles of transmission facilities enable enough renewable energy to power 3 million homes, and help California meet its renewable energy goals.
* **There are transmission projects ready to go.** One report has identified 22 shovel-ready high-voltage transmission projects across the country that would enable a significant amount of renewable energy capacity to interconnect to the grid, create millions of domestic jobs, and drive economic development.[[42]](#footnote-42) The benefits identified in the report include the following:
* The 22 transmission projects would enable 60,000 MW of new renewable capacity to interconnect to the grid, increasing U.S. wind and solar generation by approximately 50 percent from current levels.
* The projects would allow for an additional 42,000 MW of transfer capacity to be added to the grid.
* The $33.2 billion in transmission investment alone will create 600,000 jobs, while wind and solar deployment enabled by the transmission will create an additional 640,000 jobs.
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